## Statistical Data Analysis Using SAS
## Evaluation of Web-Based Training

Design and Analysis WebGuide (DAWG) has the objective of training scientists to analyze their research data with SAS software.  The primary goal is to get scientific answers to research questions, not to learn SAS commands.  We need your feedback on how well this goal and objective are satisfied by the current website.

Please begin at  http://dawg.utk.edu/crd_single_1.htm and go through the 11 steps/pages, actually performing each activity indicated by the red circled arrows.
At step 2, choose either the plant or animal Sampling example dataset, unless your instructor provides a different dataset.
Continue on through the diagnostics section, consisting of 6 pages for steps A-D.
Feel free to access other components of the site, for example the glossary.

For each step, place an X in the cell that best matches your evaluation of the instructions and explanations provided.  On the next page, please add written suggestions for steps that need improvement, and answer the four specific questions.

| STEP | This step was clear | I would have liked more detail | This step needs a lot of improvement | I did not understand this step to the point that I could not finish the module |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Step 1 | | | | |
| Step 2 | | | | |
| Step 3 | | | | |
| Step 4 | | | | |
| Step 5 | | | | |
| Step 6 | | | | |
| Step 7 | | | | |
| Step 8 | | | | |
| Step 9 | | | | |
| Step 10 | | | | |
| Step 11 | | | | |
| Diagnostics A | | | | |
| Diagnostics  B | | | | |
| Diagnostics C | | | | |
| Diagnostics D | | | | |

**Comments / Suggestions** (please feel free to give as many specific comments as you see fit, as these will help us strengthen the value of these training modules):

Step ___:




Step ___:




Step ___:




Step ___:




Step ___:




How long did it take you to complete all steps?


Were any terms used that you could not find a (useful) definition for?




What would improve the site for allowing you to <u>use</u> SAS to analyze data, while avoiding unnecessary SAS details?  In other words, was the macro approach successful?




Do you prefer the 17 pages as is, or would 34 pages with less material on each be better?